G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 62-17

1917-18
(G.F.)

Serial Nos. 1657

Later references.

G.F. 62-17 of 18
B. Sept. 18
G.F. 91-20 of 1942

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P. 23
E1

Serial Nos. 1657

Part B for January 1918

SUBJECT.

Issue of Public Service Transfer Receipts for remittance of amounts collected by a Court in favour of a litigant to another Court.

G.F. 7

No. Jd. 3808-57 dated 19-1-1918
Directs the continuance of the existing practice of issuing the Remittance Transfer Receipt at par on account of sums received as witness batta, for town-town, etc.

W D 88—GPB—50,000—24-7-16

M. Pandiencadu's main
8-2-1918.

G. R. S. 11] 1917-18

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G. J)

NOTES.

File No. 62-17

FILE HEADING.

Issue of Public Service Transfer Receipts for remittance of amounts collected by one court in favor of a litigant to another court-

From

The Comptroller to Govt.

No. 3256 / Bills 552 Dated 10/11 September 17 Received 12.9.17

Diary No. 582	Enclos. 1 Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Reports that he has authorised the treasuries to issue R.T. Receipts for remittance of amounts collected by one court in favor of a litigant to another court on payment of a premium of an anna for every Rs 10/- or fraction of Rs 10/- & requests approval of his action.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Financial Asst Secretary's orders on the paper under disposal: "Draft approving with reference"

References are put up. Draft approving is subd.

Hore 14/9/17 MR

2. Please put up a clean draft.

18/9.17

3. Clean Draft subd.

Hore 21.9.17 MR

Hetey 18/22.9.17

4/10/17

Draft approved & put up

5/10/17

NOTES—First Sheet.

12

582-718

ND 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.7 File No. 62 of 1917-18

Serial No. 2

From the Comptroller
No: 3438/Bills 724 & 2/5 10.17
States that according to G.O. & 27.6.17 on acct of batta to witnesses &c
Cash orders & R.T. Receipts cannot
be issued at par for remittances in favor
of litigant public & that the Chief
Court Rule on the subject is inconsistent
with this, requests orders of Govt. in
the matter & states that treasuries
have been authorised to continue the
existing practice in the meantime.

9 Subd with refce to marginal orders on the previous page
Hon'ble Mr 9-10-17 10 To Br III for favour of opinion.

4. 1) The draft rule on the Civil Pro: Code regarding the remittance of decretal & other amounts appeared by the Ld. members will be issued if there is no objection.

2) As regards the subsequent reference opinion of the advocate General is sent in the margin. Clause 2 of the rule of practice should be left unchanged. Clause 5 of Rule 30 of G.O. of 27.6.1917 will have to be suitably modified. The practice in British India in this matter may also be kindly noted upon.

K
10/10/17.

13 Submitted. Chandrasekharier's 'Rules, Orders' in the Madras Pres^y is put up; Please see Ch VIII gatebook.

Asst 20/10

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

12 x This need not be delayed pending disposal of 2)

18
10/10/17

GEN. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
III
JUDICIAL
11 OCT 1917

J. Mis 5 37-17

P. 778

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

3

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G 7 File No. 62 of 1917.

Serial No.

1c.

(1) There is no objection on principle to the draft proposed and approved and it may therefore issue.

(2) In regard to the second reference, the rule of practice followed by the Chief Court is the same as that in force in Madras (Vide Rules VII Chandrasekharayya Rules at p. 113) The rule was introduced to facilitate the maintenance of current accounts in the Courts, and the remittance of Civil Court balls in such cases is in the interests of public Service, though indirectly it may benefit the parties. It seems to me that in such cases the remittance must be at par, and the account rules should, if necessary, be modified.

Ex 29/10

Part II 15 (1) Issue at once.
Sub (2) Resubmit. I think the opinion of the
JM Chief Court has been obtained.
23/10/17

18
25.10.17

Recd 27.10.17.

3 To The Comptroller, the Heads of Depts & the Deputy Commrs of Districts
No. FL 2306-55 dated 7. 11. 17
Approves of the Comptroller's action on Serial 1.
Resubd as directed.
HOD JM 17 Draft a letter to the Chief Ct Regr
12.11.17 inviting the opinion of the Ch. Ct. in the matter of the Courts fee. The Courts

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. P. File No. 62 of 191 .

Serial No.	
	Letter Dispatched.
	18 22.11.17
	Draft Subd: 9 Issued 28/11/17 Hon. Dm 24/11/17
4	To The Registrar Chief Court — H 2776 dt: 4.12.17 Forwd. (Copy) letter in Sr: 2 requesting to forward the opinion of the Ch: Court on the matter
5	From the Registrar, Chief Court No. R.O.C. 547/1917/18 dt 20.12.17 2.1.18 States that in as much as the permanent advances held by Process Nazirs are Govt monies the R.T. Receipts issued for their recoupment seem more in the nature of public service than of private transfers and as such the Judges are of opinion that they ought to be issued at par as at present. (Subd to Hon. Dm 4/1/18 Draft accepting the opinion of the Ch. and directing the continuance of the existing practice may be approved
	(Hon. member) 4/1/18 18 12-1-18 Issued 16/1.

2493 15.1.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1-12-16—S.

5

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S.P. File No. 62 of 1917.

Serial No.		
7	I. The Comptroller " Regr of Chief Court " Hrs of Deps	H. 3808-57 19.1.18

Govt agree with the Chief Court and Direct that the existing practice of issuing A.R.T. at par be Continued

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

D 582

C J 62-17
Ser: 1

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.
In reply to this Comn. please refer to
No. Bills.552 E/1

3256

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, the 10 Septr: 1917

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Offg. Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To
Rajamantrapravina
Dewan Bahsdur J.S. Chakravati, ESQ., M.A., F.R.A.S.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of letter -- No.C.C.55 dated the 21st August 1917 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Kadur District, in the matter of issue of Public Service Transfer Receipts for remittance of amounts collected by one Court in favour of a litigant to another Court. Under Clause 5, para 30 of Appendix to Government Order No.Fl.8075-86/G.F.170-16-11 dated the 27th June 1917, Cash Orders or Remittance Transfer Receipts can not to be issued for remittance of such amounts. Rule 48(i) Order XXI, Civil Procedure Code, however, requires the remittance to be made by the officer collecting the amount under orders of Courts. This rule does not require remittances being made free of cost and if Remittance Transfer Receipts are not -- issued, amounts will have to be remitted by Postal Money Orders. I think issue of Remittance Transfer Receipts may be permitted in such cases on payment of a premium of an anna for every Rs.10/ or fraction of Rs.10/-. The prohibition contained in para 30 of the Appendix to the Government Order No.8075-86/G.F.170-16-11 dated the 27th June 1917 is only in regard to the issue at par of

WD.0322—GPB—3,000—14-12-16

C. O. 42–Rec.—17]

....Department

191

FROM

M. N. KRISHNA RAO, ESQ., B.A.,
Offg. Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

.....ESQ.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No.....

Subject matter.

Remittance Transfer Receipts, as private parties, cannot -- expect free remittances through Government Treasuries. There is no objection to allow such remittances on payment of a -- commission. In fact, it is desirable to allow such remittances as otherwise, amounts attached under orders of Courts have to be sent by Government Officers executing such orders by Postal Money Orders. I have, accordingly, authorised the Treasuries to issue Remittance Transfer Receipts in such --- cases on payment of premium noted above. I request that my action may be approved.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

CV/10-9

10.9.07

Copy of letter No. O.C. 55 dated 21st August 1917 from the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Kadur District to the Comptroller of Mysore, Bangalore.

.....

I have the honor to request you to kindly issue necessary instructions regarding the procedure in the following cases:-

1. The Munsiff of Narasimharajapur has credited on 28th July 1917 to personal deposit a sum of Rs. 17-15-6 being the Decree amount in Miscellaneous case 27 / 1915-16 and Ex: Case No. 11/ 16-17 on the file of the District Judge, Shimoga, and has asked this office to issue a Public Service Transfer Receipt in favour of the District Judge on Shimoga District Treasury . But under Clause 5 of para 30 of Appendix to Government Order No. Fl.8075-86/ G.F. 170-16-11 dated 27th June 1917, Treasury Officers are prohibited to issue Cash Orders or Remittance Transfer Receipts to enable amounts collected by one Court in favour of a litigant being paid in another court.

There has been an instance where a Treasury Officer has received an attachment of Warrant for salary of certain officers. Formerly, on receipt of such warrants, Treasury Officers deducted the amounts in the Salary Bills and issued a Public Service Transfer Receipt in favour of the presiding Judge who issued such warrants.

If according to the above ruling, Remittance Transfer Receipts are not to be issued, how are such amounts to be recovered or deducted and how should such warrants be honoured in the Treasury, and if honoured, what is the procedure to be adopted for remitting the amounts to the courts?

In case the amounts are credited to Judicial Deposit, there is difficulty in paying the money to the litigant party which may be far away from the Court or the Treasury. Moreover, the presiding Judge will have no information whether the amount has been recovered and credited, to note such recovery in his office Registers.

Under the above circumstances, I request you will be so good as

to

to issue definite instructions in the matter.

" C o p y "

Greybrahan.

For Superintendent, Records Section,

Comptroller's Office.

MT/7-9.

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters, below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

the communications

D. 78
C.F 62-17
Ser: 2

No. 3418 Bills-724 9/2

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 2nd October *1917*.

XXX

~~DIWAN BAHADUR J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, Esq., M.A.,~~

M. N. Krishna Rao Esq., ~~F.R.A.S., ETC.,~~

Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

Rajamantrapravina Diwan Bahadur J. S. Chakravarti ..., Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S., etc

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Financial *Department.*

SIR,

I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter No. R.C.875 dated 11th September 1917 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Treasury Department, Mysore District, in regard to the issue of Remittance Transfer Receipts for sums received on account of batta to witness as Tom-Tom batta etc. Under clause 5, para 30 of Appendix to Government Order No. Fl. 8075-86/G.F.170-16-11 dated 27th June 1917, cash orders and Remittance Transfer Receipts cannot be issued for remittances in favor of litigant public. The remittances of items referred to above appear to me to be such and, therefore, to come under the said Government Order. There is, however, a rule issued by the Chief Court with Government approval. (Vide Chief Court's Notification Civil Side dated 28th March 1911) under which charges for remittance of such amounts are allowed to be treated as ordinary contingent charges. This shows that when such remittances are made through the Treasury, the Remittance Transfer Receipt should be issued at par and no commission can be charged.

2. The remittance of witness batta amounts is in the interests of parties and free remittances through Treasuries are admissible only in cases in which they are in the interests of public service.

GPB—2,000—25-8-14

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

.....Department.

191

FROM

DIWAN BAHADUR
J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,
F.R.A.S., ETC.,
Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

...., ESQ.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Dept.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No..... .....

Subject matter.

This principle has been affirmed in the Government Order of 27th June 1917 and the Chief Court Rule is inconsistent with this principle and neither the issue of Remittance Transfer Receipts at par ~~now~~ nor the debit to office contingencies of commission paid for remittances by postal money order is justifiable. I request orders of Government in the matter. The Treasuries have been authorised to continue the existing practice in the meantime.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

SAM

2.10.17

Docket No. R.C.875/17-18 dated 11th September 1917 from the Treasury Commissioner, Treasury Department, Mysore District, to the Comptroller, Mysore Government, Bangalore.

Has the honor to state that the Subordinate Judge, Mysore, requests for the issue of a Public Service Transfer Receipt in favor of process Nazir, Holenarsipur Munsiff's Court towards the recoupment of permanent advance as per his bill No.19 ~~19~~ dated 31st August 1917 being the amount incurred on account of batta to witnesses etc., for service of processes. This includes Tom-Tom batta etc., in many cases.

Such requisitions are frequently being received in the Treasury. The attention of the Subordinate Judge was invited to clause 5, para 30 of Government Order No.Fl.8075-86/G.F. 170-16-11 dated 27th June 1917, and in reply he states that such moneys do not represent moneys collected in favor of a litigant (Vide copy of his Memo dated 11th September 1917 herewith sent.

Under these circumstances early instructions are solicited in the matter of issuing Remittance Transfer Receipts cash orders from this office.

"COPY"

Superintendent,
Records Section,
Comptroller's Office.

SAM.

Sub Judge's Court,

Mysore, 11th Sep. 1917.

M E M O.

Adverting to his office Memorandum dated the 10th instant, has the honor to invite his attention to Article 428, Chapter 9, Volume I, of the Mysore Treasury Manual and to request that under the circumstances stated therein, Public Service Transfer Receipts may kindly baused to be issued for the accounts mentioned in the chellan No.72 to 74 dated 10th idem hereto attached. These amounts are to reimburse the Nazirs who have incurred expenditure ~~xxxxx~~ on account of witness batta and they do not represent moneys collected in favor of a litigent.

(Sd.) B. Subbanna,
Subordinate Judge,
Mysore.

"COPY"

Superintendent,
Records Section,
Comptroller's Office.

SAM

No. Fl. 2306-55—G. F. 62-17-3, dated 7th November 1917.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Letter No. 3256—Bills 552, dated the 10th September 1917, from the Comptroller to Government, observing that the restriction imposed under clause 5, para 30 of Appendix to Government Order No. Fl. 8075-86—G. F. 170-16-11, dated the 27th June 1917, against the issue of such orders or Remittance Transfer Receipts for amounts collected by one Court in favour of a litigant being paid to another Court, should apply only to remittances requested to be made at *par*, and that if such remittances even on payment of commission, are not permitted, the amounts collected under Rule 48 (i) Order XXI, Civil Procedure Code, will have to be remitted by Postal Money Orders, recommending for reasons stated that remittances may be permitted in such cases on payment by the parties concerned of a premium of an anna for every Rs. 10 or fraction of Rs. 10 and reporting that in anticipation of Government sanction he has authorised the Treasuries to issue Remittance Transfer receipts in such cases on payment of premium noted above.

Order No. Fl. 2306-55—G. F. 62-17-3, dated 7th November 1917.

The Comptroller's action is approved.

J. S. CHAKRAVARTI,
Financial Secretary to Government.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Heads of Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd—C. R.

Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. Fl. 2306-55—G. F. 62-17-3, dated 7th November 1917.

Public Service Transfer Receipts.

Issue of —— on payment of premium for remittance of amounts collected by one Court in favour of a litigant to another Court.

WD A1091—GPB—400—26-11-17

Respectfully Subd.
May this order
be printed?

Hoe. Jm
6/11/17.

Yes
6/11

G.R.S. VI-71] 2 27/10 2 Copies

SUBJECT Issue of Public Service Transfer Receipts for remittance of amounts collected by one court in favor of a litigant to another court.

Diary No. of letter replied to 582

Section G. 7
File No. 62-17
Serial No. 3

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 191

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Read.— Letter no: 3256/Bills 552 dated the 10th September 1917 from the Comptroller to Govt observing that the restriction imposed under clause 5, para 30 of Appendix to G.O. no: ~~Order Thereon:— No.~~ H 8075-86-G.F 170-16-11 dated the 27th June 1917 against the issue of Cash orders

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

over.

or Remittance Transfer Receipts for amounts collected by one court in favor of a litigant being paid to another court, should apply only to remittances requested to be made at par, ~~and~~ if such ~~cash~~ remittances even on payment of commission, are not permitted the amounts collected under Rule 48(i) order XXI Civil Procedure Code, will have to be remitted by Postal money orders, ~~and~~ recommending for reasons stated that remittances may be permitted in such cases on payment by the parties concerned of a premium of an anna for every Rs 10/- or fraction of Rs 10/- and reporting.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

in contemplation of
that pending Government
sanction he has authorised
the Treasuries to issue R.T.
receipts in such cases on
payment of premium noted
above

Order thereon: 2306-55
& 7 November 17

The Comptroller's action
is approved

Finl Secretary

5/10 — M 4.10.17 — 18 22/9/17

To The Comptroller
" Heads of Depts
& Dy Commrs of Dists

Hor 21/9/17

G. R. S. 26]

G.J.
File No. 62-17
Serial No. 4p

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

No. H277

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 191 .

To 4 Dec 17
The Registrar
Chief Court Mysore

Date of issue 4-12-17

Signed by W Sacrivasan

Sir,

In forwarding herewith for use in original & a copy of letter No: 3478/ Bills 724 dated 2nd Oct 1917 from the Comptroller requesting orders in the matter of issue at par of R. T. Receipts & Cash orders and on account of batta to witnesses &c for remittances in favour of litigant public, I am directed to request

over.

x ~~to be obliged by returned~~

SUBJECT.

You should ask him to obtain and forward
~~the favour of~~ the Opinion
of the Chief Court regarding
the Comptroller's proposal.
I have &c
26/11/12
For First Secy.

24/11/12

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

G.P.B.—20,000—10-10-

D 1542 G.F. 62-17 Ser: 5 (14

R.O.C.No.547/1917-18.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 20th December 1917.
2nd January 18.

From

The Registrar of the
Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore.

1829

To

ajamantrapravina Dewan Bahadur
J.S. Chakravarthi Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S., etc.
cretary to the Government of Mysore,
Financial Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. Fl.2777/G.F. 62-17-3 dated the 4th instant forwarding a copy of Comptroller's letter No.3478/bills 724 dated the 2nd October 1917 in the matter of issuing at par Cash orders and Remittance Transfer Receipts in settlement of the recoupment bills of the Process Nazirs' permanent advances and inviting the opinion of this Court on the subject I am directed to state that inasmuch as the permanent advances held by Process Nazirs are Government monies the Remittance Transfer Receipts issued for their recoupment seem more in the nature of public service than of private transfers and as such the Judges are of opinion that they ought to be issued at par as at present.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

S. Venkataranga Iyengar

REGISTRAR IN CHARGE.

Government of His highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

G. O. No. Fl. 3808-57—G. F. 62-17-7, dated 19th January 1918.

Remittance Transfer Receipts.

Directs the continuance of the existing practice of issuing the —— at par on account of sums received as witness batta, for tom-tom, etc.

W D A1618—GPB—1-2-18—100

No. Fl. 3808-57—G. F. 62-17-7, dated 19th January 1918.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Read—

Letter No. 3438—Bills 724, dated 3rd October 1917, from the Comptroller, pointing out that, under clause 5, paragraph 30 of Appendix to Government Order No. Fl. 8075-86— G. F. 170-16-11, dated 27th June 1917, cash orders and Remittance Transfer Receipts for remittances in favour of the litigant public on account of batta to witnesses, tom-tom, etc., cannot be issued, but that under a rule dated 28th March 1911, issued by the Chief Court with the approval of Government, charges for remittance of such amounts are allowed to be treated as contingent charges, observing that neither the issue of Remittance Transfer Receipts at par nor the debit to office contingencies of commission paid for remittances by postal money order is justifiable and stating that pending further orders of Government the Treasuries have been authorised to continue the existing practice of allowing Remittance Transfer Receipts at par in such cases in the meantime.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. R. O. C. 547—1917-18, dated 2nd January 1918, from the Registrar, Chief Court, stating that payments on account of witness batta, tom-tom, etc., are paid out of the permanent advances held by Process Nazirs, and that inasmuch as they are Government monies, the Remittance Transfer Receipts issued for their recoupment seem more in the nature of public service than of private transfers, and as such the Judges are of opinion that they ought to be issued at par as at present.

Order No. Fl. 3808-57—G. F. 62-17-7, dated 19th January 1918.

Government agree with the Chief Court and direct that the existing practice of issuing Remittance Transfer Receipts at par on account of sums received as witness batta, for tom-tom, etc., be continued.

B. SRINIVASIENGAR,
For *Financial Secretary to Government.*

To—The Comptroller to Government.
The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.
The Heads of Departments.

Exd.—C. R.

V

16/1

Caps

C. P. 62-VJ
Ser. 7

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(~~Continuation sheet draft form.~~)

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
TYPIC...
16 JAN 1918

Prov. of the Govt of Mysore

Read -

1) Letter No 3438 / Bills 724 of 3rd Oct 1917 from the Comptr, printing and stat. under clause 6 para 30 of Appendix 6 of No. 72 8075-86/G.7.170-16-11 of 29th June 1917 Cash advs and remittanceharges regd: for remittance in favour of Govt officers public in account of batta bills, &c. &c. Cannot be issued, but that under a rule of 28th March 1911 issued by the chief Cont. with the approval of Govt, charges for remittance of such amounts are allowable treated as Contingent charges and stating that pending further advs of Govt the Treasurers have been authorised to continue the existing practice of allowing R.T.R at par in such cases in the meantime -

1) Permt.

2) S.O.

observing that the neither the issue of R.T.R at par nor the debit to office Contingencies of Commission paid for remittance by Postal money order is participable

2) Correspondence ending with letter No.

C.P.S. 17/1

R-O-C. 547/1917-18 2.1.18 From the Egyptian Chief Cmd- stating that payments on account of subsistence, lim-ins &c are paid out of the Permanent advances held by Process Navis, and that inasmuch as they are prohibited the T.T.R issued for their recruitment seem more in the nature of public service than of private hirings, and as such the Troops are of opinion that they ought to be issued at par as at present.

order thereon - FL 3808-57 19 January 1918

Govt agree with the Chief Cmd- and Direct that the existing practice of issuing T.T.R at par on account of sums received as subsistence, &c. lim-ins &c be continued -

16.1.18.

18

For FL Secy.

12.1.18

To The Comptr Genl.

The Egyptian Chief Cmd-

Heads of Depts —

GPB—20,000—16-2-14